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# Introduction

# Materials and Methods

## Clustering algorithms

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name | Year | Code | ?Complexity? |  |  |  |

## Clustering validation scores

Internal vs external – discussion from edging distance

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name | Type | Description | Range [worst, best] |
| ARI | External | Pair-by-pair comparison whether the points in the predicted cluster belong in the same true cluster | [-1, 1] |
| AMI | External | Mutual information based on entropy is used to calculate the agreement of true and predicted labels | [0, 1] |
| Purity | External | Cluster homogeneity as the majority class assignment. | [0, 1] |
| DBS | Internal | Ratio of the inter-cluster and intra-cluster sum of squared distances | (Inf, 0] |
| CHS | Internal | The average of a function that evaluates inter-cluster distances and the size of the cluster | [0, Inf) |
| SS | Internal | Cluster quality is evaluated as the balance between a cluster’s tightness and separation | [-1, 1] |

# Results

## Simple clustering

* Data table

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Name | #Samples | #Features | #Clusters | Provenience |  |
| D1 | D1 | 1000 | 2 | 3 |  |  |
|  | D2 | 1000 | 2 | 3 |  |  |
|  | D3 | 1000 | 2 | 3 |  |  |

* Data plot
* Plot result – hierarchical

## Overlap

* Data table

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Name | #Samples | #Features | #Clusters | Provenience |  |
| D1 | S1 | 5000 | 2 | 15 |  |  |
|  | S2 | 5000 | 2 | 15 |  |  |

* Data plot
* Plot result – hierarchical

## Imbalance

* Data table

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Name | #Samples | #Features | #Clusters | Provenience |  |
| D1 | 2d4c | 863 | 2 | 4 |  |  |
|  | 2d10c | 2990 | 2 | 9 |  |  |
|  | 2d20c | 1517 | 2 | 20 |  |  |
|  | unbalance | 6500 | 2 | 8 |  |  |

* Data plot
* Plot result – hierarchical

## Overlap and imbalance

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Name | #Samples | #Features | #Clusters | Provenience |  |
| D1 | aggregation | 788 | 2 | 7 |  |  |
|  | compound | 399 | 2 | 6 |  |  |
|  | elly | 2796 | 2 | 10 |  |  |

## Non-convexity

Internal metrics are not good, use external – discussion from edging distance

* Data table

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Name | #Samples | #Features | #Clusters | Provenience |  |
| D1 | D4 | 1000 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
|  | D5 | 1000 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
|  | 3spiral | 312 | 2 | 3 |  |  |

* Data plot
* Plot result – hierarchical

## High dimensionality

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Name | #Samples | #Features | #Clusters | Provenience |  |
|  | ecoli | 336 | 7 | 8 |  |  |
|  | glass | 214 | 9 | 6 |  |  |
|  | yeast | 1484 | 8 | 10 |  |  |
|  | statlog | 2310 | 19 | 7 |  |  |
|  | wdbc | 569 | 30 | 2 |  |  |
|  | wine | 178 | 13 |  |  |  |
|  | sonar | 208 | 60 |  |  |  |
|  | Ionosphere | 351 | 34 |  |  |  |

## Sample scalability

* Data table

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Name | #Samples | #Features | #Clusters | Provenience |  |
| D1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Data plot
* Plot result – hierarchical

## Feature scalability

* Data table

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Name | #Samples | #Features | #Clusters | Provenience |  |
| D1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Data plot
* Plot result – hierarchical

# Discussion